POLICY FOR FORMULATION OF CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE

1. BACKGROUND

GR Highways Investment Manager Private Limited, (the "IM Board" and such company, the "Investment Manager") the investment manager to the Bharat Highways InvIT ("InvIT") recognises the importance of conducting its affairs in a fair and transparent manner by adopting the highest standards of professionalism, honesty, integrity and ethical behaviour, in consonance with the Code of Conduct for the Investment Manager's board of directors (the "IM Board") and the Senior Management (the "Code").

Therefore, the Investment Manager has formulated this policy for evaluation of the performance of the IM Board, its committees, and individual directors (the "Policy") as required under the Companies Act, 2013, as amended (the "Companies Act"), Regulation 26(G) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Infrastructure Investment Trusts) Regulations, 2014, as amended (the "SEBI InvIT Regulations") and Regulation 19 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (the "SEBI Listing Regulations") and also the formal annual evaluation made by the IM Board of the InvIT's performance (self-appraisals) and that of its committees and individual directors as mentioned in clause (p) of sub-section (3) of Section 134 of the Companies Act.

The evaluation of the IM Board, its committees and of each director shall be carried out in accordance with this Policy.

2. OBJECTIVES

The objective of this Policy is to formulate the procedures and prescribe the criteria to evaluate the performance of the IM Board, its committees, and directors. The Policy is to assess and enhance the effectiveness of the IM Board as a whole. An individual director is assessed on his/ her effective contribution and commitment to the role and responsibilities as a director.

3. EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE

The criteria for evaluation includes quantitative questions with an option to provide qualitative comments and each of the questions have the following five options:

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Meets Expectations	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5

A. **Evaluation of Directors of the Company:** The IM Board and the Nomination and Remuneration Committee either itself or through an independent external agency shall carry out the evaluation of performance of all directors (including chairperson and independent directors) of the Investment Manager, excluding the director being evaluated.

I. Criteria for evaluation of performance of Non-independent Directors:

S. No.	Evaluation Parameter	Rating	Remarks*
1.	attendance and contribution in IM Board		
	and committee meetings;		
2.	His/ her stature, expertise, skills, behaviour, experience, leadership qualities, and understanding of business and strategic directions.		

•	His/hanlmandadas - CC		
3.	His/ her knowledge of finance, accounts,		
	legal, investment, marketing, foreign		
	exchange, hedging, internal controls, risk		
	management, assessment and		
	mitigation, business operations,		
	processes and corporate governance,		
	regulatory system.		
4.	Effective decisions making ability to		
	respond positively and constructively to		
	implement the same to encourage more		
	transparency.		
5.	His/ her ability to open channels of		
	communication with executive		
	management and other colleagues on		
	the IM Board to maintain high standards		
	of integrity and probity.		
6.	Recognition of the role which he/ she is		
	expected to play and understanding of		
	internal relationships to make decisions		
	objectively and collectively in the best		
	interest of the InvIT and the Investment		
	Manager to achieve success and		
	harmonising the IM Board.		
7.	His/ her rationale, physical and mental		
	fitness, thinking, vision on corporate		
	social responsibility etc.		
8.	His/ her ability to monitor the		
	performance of management and satisfy		
	himself/herself with the integrity of the		
	financial controls and systems in place by		
	ensuring right level of contact with		
	external stakeholders		
9.	His/ her level of integrity, honesty, ethics		
	and compliance with the Code		
<u> </u>		l	

^{*}remarks mandatory for rating below 3

II. Criteria for evaluation of performance of Independent Directors:

S.	Evaluation Parar	Evaluation Parameter		Remarks*
No.				
1.	Experience:	in areas of law, finance, accounting, economics, management, administration or any other area relevant to the financial markets		
2.	Knowledge and	The person has sufficient		
	Competency	understanding and		
		knowledge of the operations		
		of the Investment Manager /		
		InvIT and the applicable		
		regulatory norms.		

		The	<u> </u>
		The person has sufficient	
		understanding of the role,	
		responsibilities and	
		obligations of the	
		Independent Directors	
		under the relevant	
		regulatory norms.	
3.	Fulfilment of	The person understands and	
	functions:	fulfils the functions assigned	
		to him/her by the Board and	
		the regulatory norms.	
4.	Ability to	The person listens	
	function as a	attentively to the	
	team:	contributions of others and	
		gives adequate weightage to	
		the views and perception of	
		other Board members.	
		The person shares good	
		interpersonal relationship	
		with other directors.	
5.	Initiative	The person insists on	
		receiving information	
		necessary for decision	
		making.	
6.	Availability and	The person is available for	
	attendance:	meetings of the Board and	
		attends the meeting of	
		governing board and	
		Committees regularly and	
		timely, without delay.	
7.	Commitment:	The person is adequately	
		committed to the Board and	
		the Company.	
8.	Contribution:	The person participates in	
		the proceedings of Board	
		meetings keeping in mind	
		the interests of various	
		stakeholders.	
		The person actively	
		deliberates and contributes	
		on proposed business	
		propositions and strategic	
		decisions taking into	
		consideration pros and cons	
		of such propositions, long	
		term outlook, business	
		goals, cost-benefit analysis,	
		etc.	
9.	Integrity:	The person demonstrates	
		highest level of integrity and	
	1	fulfils the fit and proper	

		criteria and also adheres to	
		the provisions of the	
		Companies Act and the SEBI	
		InvIT Regulations and any	
		other regulatory provision,	
		as applicable.	
		The disclosures such as	
		dealing in securities and	
		other regulatory disclosures	
		are provided by the person	
		on timely basis and the	
		person doesn't disclose	
		confidential information,	
		unpublished price sensitive	
		information, unless such	
		disclosure is for the	
		legitimate purposes only, in	
		accordance with the SEBI	
		(Prohibition of Insider	
		Trading) Regulations, 2015.	
10	Indonesia		
10.	Independence	The person satisfy the	
		criteria of Independence as	
		stipulated under the	
		Companies Ac tand the SEBI	
1.0		InvIT Regulations.	
11.	Independent	The persons participation in	
	views and	decisions taken during	
	judgment:	meetings are unbiased,	
		based on ethical judgment	
		and are in strict conformity	
		to the applicable regulatory	
		norms.	
		The person raises his/her	
1		concern if anything is	
		observed contrary to	
		regulatory norms and the	
		expected norms of ethical	
		conduct.	
•	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

^{*}remarks mandatory for rating below 3

III. Criteria for evaluation of performance of Chairperson (In addition to I or II above, as applicable):

S. No	Evaluation Parameter	Rating	Remarks*
1	Leads the Board effectively		
2	Ensures participation of all members in the Board deliberations		
3	Ensures directors are as fully informed as possible on any issue where decision is required		

4	Guides the Board /Management on key issues to be brought up to the Board for deliberations	
5	Guides the Board/Management on any major initiative to be taken for improved Corporate Governance and regulatory compliance	
6	Drives consensus in decision making process	
7	Enhances the Company's image in dealing with major stakeholders/ Steps initiated towards branding of the Company.	

^{*}remarks mandatory for rating below 3

- **B. Evaluation of Board and its Committees:** The IM Board either itself or through an independent external agency shall carry out the evaluation of performance of the entire Board of Directors and its Committees.
 - I. Criteria for evaluation of entire Board

S. No	Evaluation Paramete	r	Rating	Remarks*
1	Board Composition	Size of the Board in		
	- Size	terms of the numbers of		
		directors being		
		consistent with the		
		needs of the company		
2	Competencies &	Business experience,		
	Diversity	competencies, skills and		
		diversity of background		
		of the Board members as		
		a whole and its adequacy		
3	Board Committees	Does the Board have		
		appropriate number of		
		committees		
		Effectiveness of the		
		Board Committees and		
		do they provide useful		
		recommendations		
		allowing for better		
		decision-making and do		
		they consequently make		
		Board meetings more		
		efficient and effective		
		Expertise of the		
		members of the		
		Committee on issues		
		Information to non-		
		committee members		
		about the Committee's		
4	Danud	deliberations		
4	Board	Advance Circulation of		
	Processes/Working	Agenda: Providing		
	Procedures	information on the		
		various agenda items in		

		advance of	
		Board/Committee	
		meetings	
		Adequacy of	
		information flow:	
		Supply of proper	
		information for effective	
		decision-making, key	
		issues and risks properly	
		highlighted	
		Conduct of Board	
		Meetings: Are sufficient	
		board meetings, of	
		appropriate length,	
		being held to enable	
		proper consideration of issues?	
5	Assessment of	Whether the	
	efficacy of Board	presentations given	
I	information flow	during	
	from Management	Board/Committee	
		meetings contain	
		relevant information to	
		make right decisions	
		Board meetings are	
		conducted in a manner	
		that encourages open	
		communication,	
		meaningful	
		participation, and timely	
		resolution of issues	
	Board	Contribution by Board	
	Effectiveness	members in effective	
		decision making	
		Reviewing and	
		monitoring the	
		Company's performance	
		and business strategy Review of business risks	
		and ensuring adequate risk management	
		Mutual trust and respect	
		amongst directors inter-	
		se	
		The number of meetings	
		during the year is	
		adequate for the Board	
		to be effective.	
7	Ethics &	Transparency in business	
	Compliance	transactions and	
1.0	Compliance		

disclosure of personal interest, if any	
Efficacy of the internal	
process to ensure	
statutory and regulatory	
compliances	
Compliance with policies	
of the Company, ethics,	
code of conduct, etc.	

^{*}remarks mandatory for rating below 3

II. Criteria for evaluation of entire Board Committees

Criteria for evaluation of entire Board Committees					
S. No.	Evaluation Parameter		Rating	Remarks*	
1.	Mandate and Composition	The mandate, composition and working procedures of the committees of the Board are clearly defined and discussed.			
2.	Effectiveness of the Committee	The committee has fulfilled its functions as assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable.			
3.	Structure of the Committee and meetings	The committee has properly structured and regular meetings and the frequency of such meetings is enough for the committee to undertake its duties property.			
		The notice & agenda is circulated well before the meeting and the agenda has all the relevant information to take decisions on the matter.			
		The outstanding items of previous meetings are followed-up and taken up in subsequent agendas			
		The committee discusses every issue comprehensively and is able to finish discussion and decision on all agenda items in the meetings			

		The environment of the meeting induces free-flowing free flowing discussions, healthy debate and contribution by everyone that add value to the decision making	
		The minutes of the committee meeting are being recorded properly – clearly, completely, accurately and consistently and are circulated to all the committee members.	
4.	Independence of the Committee from the Board	The independence of the committee is ensured from the Board.	
5.	Contribution to decisions of the Board	The committee's recommendations contribute effectively to the decisions of the Board	

^{*}remarks mandatory for rating below 3

4. CONFLICT IN POLICY

In the event of any conflict between the Companies Act, 2013 or the SEBI regulations or any other statutory enactments ("Regulations") and the provisions of this Policy, the Regulations shall prevail over this Policy.

5. AMENDMENTS

Any subsequent amendment/modification in the Companies Act, SEBI InvIT Regulations, SEBI Listing Regulations and/or other applicable laws in this regard shall automatically apply to the Policy.

6. REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE POLICY

The Nomination and Remuneration Committee shall review the implementation and compliance of this Policy.

7. REVIEW OF THE POLICY

The Board has the power to review this Policy on recommendation by the Nomination and Remuneration Committee.